

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA **151**, 156–159 (1992)

## A Short Proof of Martindale's Theorem on GPIs

CHEN-LIAN CHUANG\*

*Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University,  
Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China**Communicated by Nathan Jacobson*

Received April 20, 1990

We give a short proof of the following theorem of Martindale: If a prime ring  $R$  satisfies a nontrivial generalized polynomial identity, then the ring  $RC$ , where  $C$  is the extended centroid of  $R$ , contains a minimal idempotent  $e$  such that  $eRCe$  is finite dimensional over  $C$ . © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.

Throughout the sequel,  $R$  will denote a prime ring and  $C$  its extended centroid (see [1] for the definition). Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be an infinite set of *noncommuting* indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ . Let  $C\{X\}$  be the free  $C$ -algebra in the indeterminates of  $X$ . Let  $U = RC$ . Consider  $U\{X\} = U *_C C\{X\}$ , the free product of  $U$  and  $C\{X\}$  over  $C$ . Elements of  $U\{X\}$  are called *generalized polynomials* (nonzero elements of  $U\{X\}$  being called *nontrivial* generalized polynomials). For a generalized polynomial  $f$ , the expression  $f = 0$  is said to be a *generalized polynomial identity* (abbreviated as GPI) of  $R$  if  $f$  vanishes identically under all evaluations of its indeterminates in  $R$ . The following useful theorem was proved in [1]:

**THEOREM.** *Assume that  $R$  is a prime ring with the extended centroid  $C$ . If  $R$  satisfies a nontrivial GPI, then  $RC$  contains a minimal idempotent  $e$  such that  $eRCe$  is finite dimensional over  $C$ .*

Our aim here is to give a short and simple proof of this Theorem. The advantage of generalized polynomials is that the evaluation of a generalized polynomial in some of its indeterminates still results in a generalized polynomial. But in doing the evaluation of a given generalized polynomial in some of its indeterminates, one should be careful about whether the generalized polynomial thus obtained is trivial or not. For this purpose, we state a commonly used criterion for testing nontriviality of generalized

\* The author expresses his sincere thanks to the referee for the valuable suggestions which helped to clarify this paper.

polynomials. It is implicitly used in Martindale's proof and will be exploited in our proof here as well.

Assume that  $R$  is a prime ring with the extended centroid  $C$ . Let  $f$  be a generalized polynomial with its coefficients in  $RC$ . Choose arbitrarily a  $C$ -independent subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $RC$  such that  $\mathcal{B}$  linearly spans all the coefficients of  $f$  over  $C$ . By a  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomial, we mean a generalized polynomial of the form  $b_1 x_1 b_2 x_2 \cdots b_n x_n b_{n+1}$ , where  $b_1, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}$  and where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Express each coefficient of  $f$  as a  $C$ -linear combination of elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  and expand the resulting expression by using the distributive law. The given generalized polynomial can then be written as a  $C$ -linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials and the generalized polynomial  $f$  is nontrivial if and only if the coefficients of  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials in this expression are not all vanishing. (Equivalently, this says that the expression of a generalized polynomial as a  $C$ -linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials is unique.)

A linear generalized polynomial  $l(x)$  in the indeterminate  $x$  assumes the form  $l(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x b_i$ . By our criterion for testing nontriviality, the linear generalized polynomial  $l(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x b_i$  is nontrivial if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes_C b_i \neq 0$ . Equivalently, a linear generalized polynomial  $l(x)$ , in the indeterminate  $x$ , is nontrivial if and only if it can be written in the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x b_i$ , where  $a_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are  $C$ -independent and where  $b_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are not all vanishing. As in Martindale's proof, we shall have use for the following lemma ([1, Theorem 2] or [2, Lemma 1.3.3]) as our induction basis:

**LEMMA.** *Assume that  $R$  is a prime ring with the extended centroid  $C$ . Let  $l(x)$  be a nontrivial linear generalized polynomial with the coefficients in  $RC$  and in the indeterminate  $x$  only. If the set  $\{l(r): r \in R\}$  or, equivalently, the  $C$ -subspace  $\{l(r): r \in RC\}$  spanned by the set  $\{l(r): r \in R\}$ , is finite  $C$ -dimensional, then  $RC$  contains a minimal idempotent  $e$  such that  $eRCe$  is finite  $C$ -dimensional.*

*Proof of the Theorem.* By linearization, we may assume that  $R$  satisfies a nontrivial multilinear GPI  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  in the  $n$  indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We proceed by induction on the degree  $n$  of  $f$ . If  $n=1$ , we are done by the Lemma above (actually, any linear generalized polynomial identity of  $R$  must be trivial by [2, Lemma 1.3.1]). So we may assume  $n > 1$ . Choose a (finite) basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for the  $C$ -subspace spanned by the coefficients of  $f$  and express  $f$  as a  $C$ -linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials. For brevity, we denote the ordered  $(n-1)$ -tuples  $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ , where  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ , by the vector notation  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ , and let

$$\mathcal{B}^{n-1} = \times^{n-1} \mathcal{B} = \overbrace{\mathcal{B} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}}^{n-1 \text{ times}}$$

be the set consisting of all such ordered  $(n-1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{b}$ . In the expression of  $f$  as a  $C$ -linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials, we collect those  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials starting with  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  occurring in this order according to their first  $n-1$  coefficients  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$ . The generalized polynomial  $f$  can then be written as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}^{n-1} \\ \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})}} b_1 x_1 \cdots b_{n-1} x_{n-1} l_{\mathbf{b}}(x_n) + g(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $l_{\mathbf{b}}(x_n)$  is a linear generalized polynomial in the indeterminate  $x_n$  only and where  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is the sum of those  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials with the indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  occurring in an order distinct from the order  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Since  $f$  is nontrivial, by reindexing  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  if necessary, we may assume that  $l_{\mathbf{b}}(x_n)$  is nontrivial for some  $\mathbf{b}' = (b'_1, \dots, b'_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{B}^{n-1}$ . Fix such  $\mathbf{b}' = (b'_1, \dots, b'_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{B}^{n-1}$ . If the set  $\{l_{\mathbf{b}}(r) : r \in R\}$  is a subset of the  $C$ -linear span of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then the set  $\{l_{\mathbf{b}}(r) : r \in R\}$  is finite  $C$ -dimensional and we are done by the Lemma above. So we may assume that there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $l_{\mathbf{b}'}(r)$  is *not* in the  $C$ -linear span of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Fix such  $r \in R$  and expand  $\mathcal{B} \cup \{l_{\mathbf{b}'}(r)\}$  into a maximal independent subset  $\mathcal{B}'$  of the coefficients of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r)$  and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Express  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r)$  as a  $C$ -linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomials.

We *claim* that in this expression of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r)$  as the  $C$ -linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomials, the coefficient of the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial

$$\mu_0 = b'_1 x_1 \cdots b'_{n-1} x_{n-1} l_{\mathbf{b}'}(r)$$

is 1. First, for any  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{B}^{n-1}$ ,  $b_1 x_1 \cdots b_{n-1} x_{n-1} l_{\mathbf{b}}(r)$  is a  $C$ -linear combination of the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomials of the form  $b_1 x_1 \cdots b_{n-1} x_{n-1} b'$  for some  $b' \in \mathcal{B}'$ . But if  $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{b}'$ , that is, if  $b_i \neq b'_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , then for any  $b' \in \mathcal{B}'$ , the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial  $b_1 x_1 \cdots b_{n-1} x_{n-1} b'$  is distinct from the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial  $\mu_0$  and hence the expansion of  $b_1 x_1 \cdots b_{n-1} x_{n-1} l_{\mathbf{b}}(r)$  as the  $C$ -linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomials *cannot* contribute to give the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial  $\mu_0$ .

Second, in  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , only the  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomials of the form

$$v(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1 x_1 \cdots a_{i-1} x_{i-1} a' x_n a'' x_i a_i \cdots x_{n-1} a_{n-1},$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a', a'', a_i, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ , can contribute to give terms with  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  occurring in this order after the substitution of  $x_n$  by  $r$ . But after the substitution of  $x_n$  by  $r$  in such a  $\mathcal{B}$ -monomial  $v(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,

$$v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r) = a_1 x_1 \cdots a_{i-1} x_{i-1} a' r a'' x_i a_i \cdots x_{n-1} a_{n-1}$$

is obviously a  $C$ -linear combination of the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomials of the form

$$\mu = a_1 x_1 \cdots a_{i-1} x_{i-1} b' x_i a_i \cdots x_{n-1} a_{n-1},$$

where  $b' \in \mathcal{B}'$ . The last coefficients  $a_{n-1}$  of each such  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial  $\mu$  displayed above belong to  $\mathcal{B}$  and cannot be equal to  $l_{\mathbf{b}}(r)$ , which is assumed to be independent of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Hence the expansion of  $g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r)$  as a linear combination of  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomials also *cannot* contribute to give the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial  $\mu_0$ . Thus in the above displayed expression of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , only the term

$$b'_1 x_1 \cdots b'_{n-1} x_{n-1} l_{\mathbf{b}}(x_n)$$

can contribute to give the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial  $\mu_0$  after the substitution of  $x_n$  by  $r$ . Consequently the coefficient of the  $\mathcal{B}'$ -monomial  $\mu_0$  in the expansion of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r)$  is 1, as claimed.

It follows that the generalized polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r)$  is nontrivial and obviously gives rise to a nontrivial GPI of  $R$ . Since  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r)$  is of degree  $n-1$ , our proof by induction is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. S. MARTINDALE, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 576–584.
2. I. N. HERSTEIN, "Rings with Involution," Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.